

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/722,551	Applicant(s) KAWABATA ET AL.	
	Examiner Maureen M. Wallenhorst	Art Unit 1743	

All Participants:

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(2) Todd Baker (Appl. Rep.).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 April 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 9 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Minor changes in claims 1, 5, 9 and 10 were agreed upon in order to provide further clarification and to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)